DECLARATION OF COMPLIANCE 2017
WITH THE GERMAN CORPORATE GOVERNANCE CODE PURSUANT TO
SECTION 161 PARAGRAPH 1 OF THE GERMAN STOCK CORPORATION ACT (AKTG)

The Executive Board and the Supervisory Board of Epigenomics AG hereby declare that, since the last declaration of compliance in October 2016 and the update in April 2017, Epigenomics AG has complied with the recommendations of the German Government Commission on the German Corporate Governance Code (hereinafter also "Code") in the version of May 5, 2015 (published by the Ministry of Justice in the official part of the Federal Gazette on June 12, 2015) respectively the version of February 7, 2017 (published by the Ministry of Justice in the official part of the Federal Gazette on April 24, 2017), with the exceptions set forth below. References to Sections, paragraphs and sentences of the Code relate to the version of the Code of February 7, 2017 (published by the Ministry of Justice in the official part of the Federal Gazette on April 24, 2017).

Section 3.8 paragraph 3
Epigenomics AG has taken out a D&O policy. The policy includes as insured persons also the members of the Supervisory Board. Deviating from Section 3.8 Paragraph 3 the D&O policy does not provide for a deductible for members of the Supervisory Board. We consider such a deductible as inadequate taking into account the nature of the office as member of the Supervisory Board and the function of the Supervisory Board.

Section 4.1.3 sentence 3
At Epigenomics AG there exists no separate call system which the employees can us to report, in a protected manner, suspected breaches of the law within the company. Owing to its size and organization, the company does not believe that it is necessary to implement such a system. Accordingly, the company deviates from the recommendation pursuant to Section 4.1.3 sentence 3 which has been introduced by the version of the Code that was published by the Ministry of Justice in the official part of the Federal Gazette on April 24, 2017.

Section 5.1.2 paragraph 1 sentence 2 and paragraph 2 sentence 3 and Section 5.4.1 paragraph 2 sentences 1 and 2 and paragraph 4
In the past, when filling the positions in its bodies, the Executive Board and the Supervisory Board considered the company-specific situation, and also made allowances for potential conflicts of interest as well as the international activities of the company through an appropriate diversity of their members as well as the appointment of an adequate number of independent Supervisory Board members. Furthermore, the Supervisory Board determined a maximum term of membership and prepared a profile of skills and expertise for the entire Supervisory Board. In deviation from the recommendations in Section 5.1.2 paragraph 2 sentence 3 and in Section 5.4.1 paragraph 2 sentence 2, we however consider the commitment to institute special age limits for members of the Executive Board and the Supervisory Board as an inadequate limitation of the voting rights of our shareholders. In addition, we are convinced that sweeping requirements for the composition of the Executive Board as requested in Section 5.1.2 paragraph 1 sentence 2 constrain the Supervisory Board inadequately in its selection of suitable members of the Executive Board. The same applies accordingly to the specification of sweeping objectives regarding the composition of the Supervisory Board, as required in Section 5.4.1 paragraph 2 sentences 1 and 2 and assumed in Section 5.4.1 paragraph 4. We strive to achieve an appropriate diversity in the Executive Board and the Supervisory Board and to ensure that an adequate number of independent Supervisory Board members is elected. However, it is ultimately in the corporate interest to appoint as members of the Executive Board and the Supervisory Board the most suitable male or female candidates. Furthermore, the Supervisory Board
has defined gender diversity objectives for the proportion of women in both the Executive Board and
the Supervisory Board in accordance with Section 111 paragraph 5 of the Stock Corporation Act
(Aktiengesetz – AktG). We therefore believe that (additional) sweeping requirements constitute an
inadequate limitation of the individual selection of suitable male and female candidates for the
Executive Board or the Supervisory Board. Furthermore, a target requirement regarding the
composition of the Supervisory Board also inadequately impairs our shareholders' right to elect the
Supervisory Board members. Accordingly, we did not and will not comply with these
recommendations of the Code.

**Sections 5.3.1 sentence 1, and 5.3.3**

Due to the size of the company, the Supervisory Board did not and does not believe that it is necessary
to form a Nomination Committee composed exclusively of shareholder representatives which
recommends suitable Supervisory Board candidates for the proposals of the Supervisory Board to the
general shareholders' meeting. Rather, this task is being performed by the full Supervisory Board.
Owing to the size of the company and of the Supervisory Board, the Supervisory Board considers it
adequate and appropriate to form only an Audit Committee. In contrast, the implementation of
further committees was and is in the opinion of the Supervisory Board not necessary. Hence, the
recommendations pursuant to Sections 5.3.1 sentence 1 and 5.3.3 continue not to be complied with.

Berlin, October 2017

**On behalf of the Supervisory Board:**  
Heino von Prondzynski  
(Chairman of the Supervisory Board)

**On behalf of the Executive Board:**  
Gregory Hamilton  
(CEO)  
Dr. Uwe Staub  
(COO)